

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/648,485	08/27/2003	Satoshi Amano	AIA-100-C	4125	
28892	7590 04/25/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
SNIDER & ASSOCIATES			GITOMER, RALPH J		
P. O. BOX 27613 WASHINGTON, DC 20038-7613			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1651		
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005	DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/648,485	AMANO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ralph Gitomer	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Au	<u>igust 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r .					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	,, — , , , , , ,	(DTO 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1651

The Change of Address received 12/22/04 and the IDS's received 8/27/03 and 4/1/04 have been entered and claims 1-2 are currently pending in this application.

Please update the specification regarding the status of related applications.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by each of Ramamurthy and Stetler-Stevenson.

Ramamurthy (5,998,390) entitled "Combination of Bisphosphonate and Tetracycline" teaches in column 3 lines 18-20, MMP's can bring about destruction of basement membranes. In column 3 lines 42-45, tetracyclines have been shown to suppress matrix metalloproteinases. In column 5 last paragraph, inhibiting breakdown of basement membranes a composition is administered which is a combination of tetracycline and bisphosphonate. In column 6 line 48, destruction of soft tissues including skin is shown. In column 7 lines 33-45, basement membrane is described.

Stetler-Stevenson (5,595,885) entitled "Matrix Metalloproteinase Inhibitor Peptides" teaches in column 10 lines 33-48, treating basement membrane disorders with MMP inhibitors. See column 14 lines 13-17 directed to control of basement membrane breakdown with MMP inhibitors.

Art Unit: 1651

All of the features of claim 1 are taught by each of the above references for the same function claimed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over each of Ramamurthy and Stetler-Stevenson.

The claim differs from each of the above references in that it includes a matrix protein production promoting agent.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made to promote skin basement membrane formation with a matrix protein production promoting agent because the term reads on water to vitamin C which are each taught in the references of record and one would have a high expectation of success in promoting basement membrane formation with a substance known to promote such formation. No synergy or unexpected results are seen.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claims are directed to treating a subject by administering an inhibitor and something else. The specification as originally filed does not disclose the administration of anything to any subject.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for specific MMP inhibitors and matrix protein production promoting agents, does not reasonably provide enablement for any MMP inhibitor or matrix protein production promoting agent. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

"A matrix metalloproteinase inhibitor" reads on nitric acid which is not likely to work in the claimed invention. "A matrix protein production promoting agent" reads on water which is not likely to work in the claimed invention.

The entire scope of the claims has not been enabled because:

- 1. Quantity of experimentation necessary would be undue because of the large proportion of inoperative compounds claimed.
- 2. Amount of direction or guidance presented is insufficient to predict which substances encompassed by the claims would work.
- 3. Presence of working examples are only for specific substances and extension to other compounds has not been specifically taught or suggested.
- 4. The nature of the invention is complex and unpredictable.
- 5. State of the prior art indicates that most related substances are not effective for the claimed functions.
- 6. Level of predictability of the art is very unpredictable.
- 7. Breadth of the claims encompasses an innumerable number of compounds.
- 8. The level of one of ordinary skill in this art is variable.

In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988)

Application/Control Number: 10/648,485

Art Unit: 1651

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are directed to administering to a subject whose skin basement membrane formation is necessary. It would appear any animal with skin requires basement membrane formation.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not directed to the presently claimed invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Mochitate (US 2004/0234510 A1) teaches basement membrane formation.

Nelson (6,465,508) teaches MMP inhibitors.

Khaw (6,093,398) teaches MMP inhibitors for treating skin..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (571) 272-0916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,485

Art Unit: 1651

ontrol Number: 10/040,40

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ralph Gitomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

Rectoure

RALPH GITOMER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200